

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	N NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/204,12	23	12/02/1998	KAZUTAKA SHIBATA	8046.037USO	5063
22434	7590	10/16/2003		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP				CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
P.O. BOX 778				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3729 DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{O}_{i}				
Advisory Action	09/204,123	SHIBATA ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		İ				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6 and 7.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,5,8,9.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
0. Other:		A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3729	2/				

Application/Control Number: 09/204,123 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

Attachment to Advisory Action

In regards to the merits of Matsui et al, the applicants' believe that Matsui does not teach forming an anisotropic conductive layer on the target surface so as to span terminal-forming areas. The examiner most respectfully disagrees and it appears that the applicants' are looking at the wrong figures of Matsui. For further clarification the examiner refers the applicants' to at least one example that Matsui suggests in Figure 5a, which shows an "anisotropic conductive layer" 10, 11 on the target surface 1. Matsui even refers to layer 10, 11 as an "anisotropic conductive" material (see col. 1, lines 53-55). Therefore, the examiner maintains the rejection with Matsui as the primary reference as applied in the Final Rejection (Paper No. 29).